

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/790,496	03/01/2004	Ryuichi Iwamura	50T5713.02	9501	
36738 7590 09/26/2008 ROGITZ & ASSOCIATES			EXAMINER		
750 B STREE		PHUNKULH, BOB A			
SUITE 3120 SAN DIEGO.	CA 92101		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2619		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/26/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. | Applicant(s) | 10/790,496 | IWAMURA, RYUICHI Examiner | Art Unit | BOB A. PHUNKULH | 2619 | Parts | Art Unit | 2619 | Ar

		BOB A. PHUNKULH	2619					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY DHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DE- HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DE- HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DE- HER STORT AND THE MAILING AND AND THE MAILING DE- SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was not precised to period for reply with the set or ordended period for reply with the set or ordende	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,				
Status								
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Ju This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro		e merits is				
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or							
Applicati	ion Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed onis/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the c Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	a 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C					
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage				
Attachmen	t(s)							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						

Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date S. Patent and Trademark Office	6)	

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/790,496

Art Unit: 2619

DETAILED ACTION

This communication is in response to applicant's 06/11/2008

amendment(s)/response(s) in the application of IWAMURA for "SYSTEM AND

METHOD FOR MULTI-LINK COMMUNICATION IN HOME NETWORK" filed

03/01/2004. The amendment/response to the claims have been entered. No claims have been canceled. No claims have been added. Claims 1-25 are now pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The amended claim subject matter the server or the component determining which path lacks support in the original specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 2619

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States

Claims 1-6, 9-15, 18-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by FALVO et al. (US 2003/0140343), hereinafter FALVO.

Regarding claim 1, FALVO discloses a home entertainment system, comprising: at least one server configured for both wired and wireless communication (the combination of digital set top box/cable modern 335 and WLAN bridge 330, see figure 3); and

at least one component configured for communicating with the server along a wired path and also being configured for communicating with the server along a wireless path (the display devices 310-325 are connectable to WLAN bridge 330 via either twisted pair connection or via RF link, see figure 5), at least one of: the server, and component, determining which path to use for communication based on at least one of: a component preference, a bandwidth capability, and an occupancy ratio (as show in figure 3, display devices are connectable to WLAN Bridge 330 via wireless or RF link or twisted pair).

Regarding claim 2, FALVO discloses a respective address is associated with each path over which the component communicates (see paragraph 0079).

Regarding claim 3, FALVO discloses the addresses are IP addresses (see paragraph 0079).

Art Unit: 2619

Regarding claim 4, FALVO discloses the component is selected from the group of components consisting of: televisions, and portable computers (see figure 3).

Regarding claim 5, FALVO discloses the component is a TV (see figure 3).

Regarding claim 6, FALVO discloses at least one of: the server, and component, determines which path to use for communication based at least in part on a component preference (as show in figure 3, display devices are connectable to WLAN Bridge 330 via wireless or RF link or twisted pair).

Regarding claim 9, FALVO discloses a method for communicating a home network, comprising:

determining that both a wired and a wireless path exist between the components (as show in figure 3, display devices are connectable to WLAN Bridge 330 via wireless or RF link or twisted pair); 0

determining whether at least one of the components prefers a particular path and if so, communicating data over that path (as show in figure 3, display devices are connectable to WLAN Bridge 330 via wireless or RF link or twisted pair);

otherwise communicating data over at least one of the paths based on at least one of: a bandwidth capability, and an occupancy ratio (as show in figure 3, display devices are connectable to WLAN Bridge 330 via wireless or RF link or twisted pair).

Art Unit: 2619

Regarding claim 10, FALVO discloses communicating simultaneously between the components using both paths (the WLAN Bridge is simultaneously connectable to a display device connected by twisted pair link and a second display device connected by RF link, see figure 3).

Regarding claim 11, FALVO discloses a respective address is associated with each path over which the component communicates (see paragraph 0079).

Regarding claim 12, FALVO discloses the addresses are IP addresses (see paragraph 0079).

Regarding claim 13, FALVO discloses at least one component is selected from the group of components consisting of: televisions, and portable computers (see paragraph 0013).

Regarding claim 14, FALVO discloses the component is a TV (see paragraph 0013).

Regarding claim 15, FALVO discloses at least one of: a server, and a component, determines which path to use for communication based at least in part on a component preference (as show in figure 3, display devices are connectable to WLAN

Art Unit: 2619

Bridge 330 via wireless or RF link or twisted pair).

Regarding claim 18, FALVO discloses a system for communicating between at least first and second components in a home network, comprising:

means for establishing a wired communication path between the components (802.3 10BASE-T interface, see figure 14);

means for establishing a wireless communication path between the components (RF PHY, see figure 4);

means for communicating data over a component-preferred path when a component-preferred path is indicated, the component-preferred path being selected from the wired and wireless communication paths:

means for, when no component-preferred path is indicated, communicating data over at least one of the paths based on at least one of: a bandwidth capability, and an occupancy ratio (as show in figure 3, display devices are connectable to WLAN Bridge 330 via wireless or RF link or twisted pair).

Regarding claim 19, FALVO discloses a respective address is associated with each path (see paragraph 0079).

Regarding claim 20, FALVO discloses wherein the addresses are IP addresses (see paragraph 0079).

Art Unit: 2619

Regarding claim 21, FALVO discloses at least one component is selected from the group of components consisting of: televisions, and portable computers (see figure 3 and paragraph 0013).

Regarding claim 22, FALVO discloses the component is a TV (see figure 3 and paragraph 0013).

Regarding claim 23, FALVO at least one of: a server, and a component, determines which path to use for communication based at least in part on a component preference (as show in figure 3, display devices are connectable to WLAN Bridge 330 via wireless or RF link or twisted pair).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7-8, 16-17, 24-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over FALVO.

Art Unit: 2619

Regarding claims 7-8, 16-17, 24-25, FALVO fails to explicitly disclose that WLAN bridge selects/determines which path to use for communication based at least in part on a bandwidth capability and based on at least part on an occupancy ratio.

As shown in figure 3, the display devices in the in home network 305 are connectable to WLAN Bridge 330 via wireless or RF link or twisted pair.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made selects the path based on the bandwidth capacity of the link and occupancy ratio of the link in order to improve the system's performance by avoiding congestion on the link.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 9/11/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to the applicant's argument the WLAN bridge 330 selects path to the display based on the preferred communication path e.g. preferred path for display devices 320 and 325 are twisted pair and for display devices 310 and 315 are wireless link (see figures 3 and 4 and paragraphs 0048 to 0051 for detail).

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2619

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

The following address mail to be delivered by the United States Postal Service (USPS) only:

Mail Stop ____ Commissioner for Patents P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

or faxed to:

(571) 273-8300, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Bob A. Phunkulh** whose telephone number is **(571) 272-3083.** The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Tursday from 8:00 A.M. to 5:00 P.M. (first week of the bi-week) and Monday-Friday (for second week of the bi-week).

Application/Control Number: 10/790,496 Page 10

Art Unit: 2619

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor Jay Patel, can be reach on (571) 272-2988. The fax phone number for this group is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Bob A. Phunkulh/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2619